

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,192	10/14/2003	Dieudonne Ntongmi Detchou		2887
75	7590 10/07/2005		EXAMINER	
DIEUDONNE NTONGMI DETCHOU			TOOMER, CEPHIA D	
852 QUINLAN ROAD OTTAWA, KIG-ISI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			1714	
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			14				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/684,192	DETCHOU, DIEUI NTONGMI	ONNE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUI .136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M te, cause the application to become	NICATION. If a reply be timely filed IONTHS from the mailing date of this contained the second state of					
Status							
·— · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
, 	,—·						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	J.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.						
) Claim(s) is/are objected to.) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)☑ None of:							
1: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)	•				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT0	D-152)				
Paper. No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/684,192 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are rejected because it is not clear from the second bulleted item of claim 1 if it is a component of claim 1 or if it should it be a separate claim. Applicant has not drafted the claim in such a manner that one would recognize continuity between the first bullet and the second bullet. Clarification and correction are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,192

Art Unit: 1714

5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams (US 6,413,612) in view of Hickman (US 3,310,163).

Williams teaches a matchbox with a detachable match strike surface attached to a surface of the matchbox by use of VELCRO (adhesive). The surface material may be cardboard (see abstract; col. 3, lines 1-10; claim 1).

Hickman teaches a matchbox bearing advertisement (see col. 1, lines 24-34).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to place advertisement on the matchbox or strike surface because Hickman teaches that when matches are removed from matchboxes or matchbooks the user is required to look at the books or boxes to remove and strike the match. For this reason the match container is a prime means for conveying advertising messages (see col. 1, lines 15-23).

Williams fails to teach that the backing is wax paper. However, the cardboard of Williams protects the striking surface and therefore performs the same function as would wax paper.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art is cited for teaching the general state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/684,192

Art Unit: 1714

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

10684192\100305